## Required Pesticide of Interest Characteristics

Active Ingredient	State 303(d)	Current Status	History	Comments
2,4-D	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2008. POC 2011. NPOC 2012.	Activity managed to a level where not a pesticide of concern;
Acetochlor (+ ESA, OXA)	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2011. NPOC 2012.	Based on data analysis, concentrations did not approach or exceed human health or ecological reference points or other environmental factors; Activity managed to a level where not a pesticide of concern;
Alachlor (+ ESA)	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2013. Re-Eval 2013.	No reasonable exposure expected; insignificant level of use;
Aldicarb (+ degradates)	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Atrazine (+ DEA, DIA, DACT, Hydroxy)	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2007. NPOC 2011.	No reasonable exposure expected; monitoring data or modeling output;
Azinphos-methyl	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2012. Re-Eval 2013.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress
Bentazon	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2008. NPOC 2009.	other Successfully managed; other Successfully managed;
Bromacil	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2008.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Carbaryl	Yes	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2008. Re-Eval 2013.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress
Carbofuran (Cancellation being prepared)	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Chlorothalonil	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Chlorpyrifos (+ TCP)	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Clopyralid	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Copper Pesticides	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2009.	Based on data analysis, concentrations did not approach or exceed human health or ecological reference points or other environmental factors;
Dacthal (+ degradates) (Cancellation being Prepared)	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
DBCP	Yes	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2009.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe;

Diazinon	Yes	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2008.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Dicamba	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Dimethenamid	No	Not evaluated as of this time		
Diuron	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2008. POC 2012. Re-Eval 2013.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress
Endosulfan	Yes	Not evaluated as of this time		
Esfenvalerate	Yes	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2008.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Ethoprop	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2009.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Glyphosate (+ AMPA)	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2011. NPOC 2013.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe;
Hexazinone (+ Metabolite B)	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2014.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Imazamethabenz	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2014.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Imazapyr	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2010.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Imidacloprid				
Isoxaflutole	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2010.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe; insignificant level of use; monitoring data or modeling output; Based on data analysis, concentrations did not approach or exceed human health or ecological reference points or other environmental factors;
Lambda-cyhalothrin	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2010.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Lindane (Voluntarily cancelled, use of existing stocks permitted until October 1, 2009)				
Malathion	Yes	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2009.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress
Mesotrione	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2010.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe;
Metalaxyl	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2014.	No reasonable exposure expected; insignificant level of use;
Metsulfuron Methyl				

Metolachlor (+ ESA, OXA, S-Metolachlor)

	-			
Metribuzin ( + DA, DADK, DK)				
MSMA + other arsenical herbicides				
Napropamide	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2014.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Norflurazon ( + degradates)				
Pendimethalin	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2014.	No reasonable exposure expected; soil type, run-off potential; Activity managed to a level where not a pesticide of concern;
Phenoxy herbicide group				
Phosmet	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2008.	No reasonable exposure expected; insignificant level of use;
Picloram		·		
Prometon	,			
Prometryn				
Propazine				
Propiconazole				
Simazine ( + DACT, DIA)	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2011. NPOC 2013.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe;
Sulfometuron (et. al.)				
Tebuthiuron				
Terbacil				
Thiamethoxam				
Tralkoxydim			,	,
Triallate				
Triclopyr	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2011. Re-Eval 2013.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress
Trifluralin				

# Optional Pesticide of Interest Characteristics

Active Ingredient	State 303(d)	Current Status	History	Comments
Acibenzolar-S-methyl				
Bifenthrin	Yes	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2007.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress
Cypermethrin	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2009. NPOC 2013.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe;
Fipronil	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2010.	Actively managed; Demonstrated progress

lambda-Cyhalothrin				
Metsulfuron	No	Evaluated: not a pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: NPOC 2013.	No reasonable exposure expected; not registered for use in State or Tribe;
Permethrin	No	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2009. Re-Eval 2013.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress
Zeta-Cypermethrin	Yes	Evaluated: pesticide of concern	Initial evaluation: POC 2009.	Not actively managed; No demonstrated progress

### **Pesticides of Concern**

Active Ingredient  Azinphos-methyl	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
Azinphos-metriyi	General Information	Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Human health and environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Agricultural
	Technical Basis	Toxicity; Human health; Ecological; High use within or near vulnerable ground or surface water resources
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? No
Bromacil	General Information	Water resource: Surface and ground water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Agricultural
	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Bioaccumulative potential; Mobility; Label language
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes AWQAL criteria or data
Carbaryl	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Human health and environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Agricultural; Turf & Ornamental; Homeowner use (any)
	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Persistence; Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory level for fish tissue; Modeling or other predictive tools
	Triggers and Tools	Does your organization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? Yes EPA MCL/HAL

		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? No
Diazinon	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Urban Aquatic; Homeowner use (any); Golf course
	Technical Basis	Toxicity; Ecological; Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory level for fish tissue; Exceeds a national aquatic life benchmark in an urban or agricultural watershed.
	Triggers and Tools	Does your organization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? Yes AWQAL Criteria
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Additional use restrictions (please describe); Do not allow broadcast application in urban areas.
Diuron	General Information	Water resource: Surface and ground water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Human health and environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Non-Urban Right-of-Way; Urban Right-of-Way; Agricultural
	Technical Basis	Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory level for fish tissue; Exceeds a national aquatic life benchmark in an urban or agricultural watershed.
	Citations	test. Monitoring report for watershed 2010 
	Triggers and Tools	Does your organization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? Yes EPA/OPP Benchmark; State, Territorial, or Tribal numerical standard.
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Additional use restrictions (please describe); buffer zones around water bodies
Esfenvalerate	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Urban Structural; Homeowner use (any)

Triggers and Tools	State, Territory, or Tribe  Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No  Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide
	_
	program level? Yes Nothing selected
General Information	Water resource: Surface water
	Compound posing risk: Parent compound
	Pesticide impacts: Environment
Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Turf & Ornamental; Homeowner use (any); Point Source; Other; stormwater discharge
Technical Basis	Toxicity; Ecological
Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
	Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Additional use restrictions (please describe); Limit application to impervious surfaces
General Information	Water resource: Ground water
	Compound posing risk: Parent compound and degradate
	Pesticide impacts: Human health
Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Agricultural
Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Persistence; Mobility; Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory level for fish tissue
Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
	Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Additional use restrictions (please describe); no use w/in 100 feet of well
No Data	
General Information	Water resource: Surface and ground water
	Compound posing risk: Parent compound
	Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Technical Basis  Triggers and Tools  General Information  Contamination Sources  Technical Basis  Triggers and Tools  No Data

	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Toxicity; High use within or near vulnerable ground or surface water resources; Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory level for fish tissue; Detection in water, sediment, fish tissue by a neighboring State, Territory, or Tribe; Existing field water quality data; Environmental fate and effect data
	Triggers and Tools	Does your organization have a numerical standard to trigge a regulatory response? Yes Nothing selected
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Nothing selected
Lambda-cyhalothrin	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Urban Structural; Point Source; POTW effluent
	Technical Basis	Toxicity; Ecological
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigge a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Additional use restrictions (please describe)
Malathion	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Agricultural; Public Health
	Technical Basis	Toxicity; Ecological; Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory leve for fish tissue
	Triggers and Tools	Does your organization have a numerical standard to trigge a regulatory response? Yes AWQAL Criteria
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? No
Napropamide	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Degradate
		Pesticide impacts: Human health
	Contamination Sources	Nothing selected
	Technical Basis	Nothing selected
	Triggers and Tools	Does your organization have a numerical standard to trigge a regulatory response? Yes Nothing selected
	55	· · · · · ·

		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Nothing selected
Triclopyr	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Human health and environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Non-Urban Right-of-Way
	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Mobility; Toxicity; Human health; Ecological
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? No
Permethrin	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Agricultural; Turf & Ornamental; Homeowner use (any)
	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Persistence; Sediment contamination potential; Toxicity; Ecological; Detection in water, sediment, fish tissue by a neighboring State, Territory, or Tribe
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes AWQAL criteria or data
Zeta-Cypermethrin	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Urban Aquatic; Urban Structural; Agricultural; Turf & Ornamental; Homeowner use (any)
	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Sediment contamination potential; Toxicity; Ecological; Existing field water quality data; USGS; University
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? No
Bifenthrin	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Urban Structural; Homeowner use (any)
	Technical Basis	Toxicity; Ecological; Detection in water/sediment through monitoring in the State, Territory, or Tribe that approaches or exceeds a water quality reference point, a toxic standard/benchmark for sediment, or an FDA advisory level for fish tissue; Existing field water quality data; State

	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Additional use restrictions (please describe); No use within 48 hr of expected rain
Fipronil	General Information	Water resource: Surface water
		Compound posing risk: Parent compound
		Pesticide impacts: Environment
	Contamination Sources	Nonpoint Source; Urban Structural; Turf & Ornamental; Golf course; Point Source; POTW effluent
	Technical Basis	Environmental Fate; Persistence; Mobility; Toxicity; Ecological
	Triggers and Tools	Does your oragnization have a numerical standard to trigger a regulatory response? No
		Does this chemical need additional management or development of management tools at the national pesticide program level? Yes Clearer, more enforceable label; Additional use restrictions (please describe); AWQAL criteria or data; National standard e.g., MCL

## Pesticides Under Active Management

Bromacil	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination. Management control decisions based on spatially and temporally focused surveillance monitoring.; Imposition of other use or label restrictions designed to reduce contamination of a pesticide.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? Yes
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? Yes
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
Diazinon	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes Water Resources Control Board;
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination. Water quality assessment that identified vulnerable water resources and triggered management actions.; Designated as "Resticted Use" due to water quality concerns.; Imposition of other use or label restrictions designed to reduce contamination of a pesticide.; Other; Prohibit use patterns/application methods that enhance runoff.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? Yes
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used

regstration review? Yes

to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the

	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
Esfenvalerate	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination. Applicator or user education, hands-on training, or public outreach on locally-specific management practices (BMPs) that minimize the amount of the pesticides of concern that enter water in sensitive areas. For example: riparian buffer zones, filter strips, no-till cultivation, replacing invasive with native species, retention ponds, grassed swales, tarps at contruction sites, avoiding application when rain/irrigation is expected.; Revisions to State, Territory, or Tribal regulations to protect water quality.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? Yes
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? Yes
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
Ethoprop	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes water board;
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination. Water quality assessment that identified vulnerable water resources and triggered management actions.; Use of BMPs to control runoff from fields or to prevent leaching to groundwater.; Required applicator education or user education, training and certification on locally-specific BMPs
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? N/A
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? N/A
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
	No Data
	No Data
Imazapyr	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination. Management control decisions based on spatially and temporally focused surveillance monitoring.; Use of BMPs to control runoff from fields or to prevent leaching to groundwater.; Designated as "Resticted Use" due to water quality concerns.; Required applicator education or user education, training and certification on locally-specific BMPs; Permit provisions for special situations.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? Yes
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? Yes
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
Lambda-cyhalothrin	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes

	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination.  Use of BMPs to control runoff from fields or to prevent leaching to groundwater.; Revisions to State, Territory, or Tribal regulations to protect water quality.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? N/A
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? N/A
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
	No Data
Bifenthrin	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination. Applicator or user education, hands-on training, or public outreach on locally-specific management practices (BMPs) that minimize the amount of the pesticides of concern that enter water in sensitive areas. For example: riparian buffer zones, filter strips, no-till cultivation, replacing invasive with native species, retention ponds, grassed swales, tarps at contruction sites, avoiding application when rain/irrigation is expected.; Designated as "Resticted Use" due to water quality concerns.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? Yes
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? Yes
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified
Fipronil	Have you coordinated with the appropriate State water agencies to manage the pesticide? Yes State Water Board;
	Select items that describe your management approach to prevent or mitigate contamination.  Water quality assessment that identified vulnerable water resources and triggered management actions.
	If you have determined that this pesticide was listed as the cause of a water body impairment under 303(d) but that it is not of concern, has that evaluation been shared with the appropriate State or Tribal water agencies? Yes
	If you, or your State, Territorial, or Tribal water agency have any available data that was used to support a State 303(d) listing, has that data been shared with EPA for consideration in the regstration review? Yes
	If available, enter the number of watersheds for which a national aquatic life benchmark is

## **Demonstrated Progress with Pesticides of Concern**

Bromacil	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? Yes Reduced concentration
	levels
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy?
	User surveys indicated reduced use; Sales data indicated reduced sales
Diazinon	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? Yes Reduced concentration levels

exceeded: Urban watershed: not specified Agricultural watershed: not specified

	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy?  User surveys indicated reduced use; Sales data indicated reduced sales
Esfenvalerate	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? Yes Reduced concentration levels
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy? Sales data indicated reduced sales
Ethoprop	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? No
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy?  User surveys indicated reduced use
Hexazinone (+ Metabolite B)	No Data
Imazamethabenz	No Data
Imazapyr	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? Yes Reduced concentration levels
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy? Nothing selected
Lambda-cyhalothrin	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? No
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy?  User surveys indicated reduced use; Sales data indicated reduced sales
Napropamide	No Data
Bifenthrin	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? No
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy?  User surveys indicated reduced use; Evidence from inspections and enforcement that there is compliance with regulatory restrictions
Fipronil	Are there water quality monitoring results to show progress? Yes Reduced concentration levels
	Which other measures were used to gauge the effectiveness of the management strategy? User surveys indicated reduced use

## **Program Management**

Legal Authorities	Have there been changes to your State, Territory, or Tribe's legal authorities that affect water quality management? Yes Revised compliance inspection program
Scope of Management Program	Which media does you management approach address? Surface Water; Sediment; testing for overwriting; Urban/suburban use patterns; This box is better, I can see a few lines of text, but they are very short lines, indeed. Again, the running character count is nice, but it would be a pain to try to review and edit what I've typed in here.
Monitoring	Have you conducted any pesticide monitoring this year using EPA funds? Yes
	Have any available results been reported to the appropriate State water and health agencies? Yes
	Have the results been reported to OPP in a readable database format? Yes
	Have you conducted any pesticide monitoring this year using funds other then EPA? Yes
	Have any available results been reported to the appropriate State water and health agencies? Yes
	Have the results been reported to OPP in a readable database format? Yes
	Have you coordinated with other Federal, State, or Tribal agencies, or research instituions to conduct wter quality monitoring or to share data on water quality assessments? Yes
	CA State Water Resources Control Board SWAMP data.;

	Have you coordinated with other Federal, State, or Tribal agencies, or research institutions to carry out your management startegy to obtain program efficiencies? No
Additional Comments	Provide any additional comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the national pesticide water quality field program.
	This box is better yet. I'll keep typing to see how many of the 1200 characters can be in here before it starts scrolling. Not very many, apparently. Won't even hit 1000 before the end of the box.;